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## EDITORIAL

For several years now this journal, and others, have been printed with the kind help of both our employer and members of the Print Room staff Indeed, without the technical help and advice of the latter, even the generous granting of the use of equipment would have been to little avail, since our unhappy aptitude of creating mechanical mayhem would have prevented a single issue appearing.

There is now every prospect of a change in employment by the Editor and this may well be the last issue to be produced by the present printers. It is, therefore, most fitting to express the appreciation of postal historians all over the world for the facility of both staff and machines.

This does not mean we intend to cease publication but it will mean a greatly increased cost of production unless a reader can offer similar facilities to those enjoyed to date. Unless we hear to the contrary, however, quotations from commercial organisations will be sought to ensure the continued issue of this journal.

On another tack entirely, readers who were with us from the first issue may recall it was the intention not to fill the editorial with pleas for articles and thus far the contributions have provided sufficient to allow an issue to be produced four or five times a year. The point has been reached, however, when the balance of contributions across the wide range of time and types of London Postal History is not in balance. While this should not be considered an appeal it should be though of as a slight Editorial cough to attract attention to what might be a problem. There is no shortage of items to be reprinted from other publications, either in the original or with more recent data and readers may consider that to bring articles scattered throughout a series of unrelated publications into one journal devoted to London is in itself no mean achievement. This certainly is one aim, but original contributions are always most welcome 。

One final thought on this subject - if such a comment is required only every five years, things are not too bad.

John Parmenter, whose book on the horizontal diamonds is awaiting the printed covers for publication, is off for three or four years to Norway. His new address is given inside but this change of abode will not deter him from his PH work and he promises further working papers and monographs as time passes. We wish him well with his new work and will miss him at our London meetings. He suggests that replies to his detailed working papers be routed through the Editor of NOTEBOOK rather than sent to his new address in individual postings.

IN THIS ISSUE.

(c) 1974 London Postal History Group or Contributor where named。
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Auction August 3rd. 1974

| Lot | Price | Lot | Price | Lot | Price | Lot | Price | Lot | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.10 | 2 | $*$ | 3 | 1.00 | 4 | 3.00 | 5 | 2.50 |
| 6 | 3.00 | 7 | .75 | 8 | 2.10 | 9 | 1.00 | 10 | 2.00 |
| 11 | $*$ | 12 | 3.60 | 13 | $*$ | 14 | .05 | 15 | $*$ |
| 16 | $*$ | 17 | $*$ | 18 | $*$ | 19 | 1.00 | 20 | 2.20 |
| 21 | .25 | 22 | 1.50 | 23 | 2.60 | 24 | 4.10 | 25 | 2.00 |
| 26 | 1.60 | 27 | 2.50 | 28 | .50 | 29 | .90 | 30 | 1.10 |
| 31 | 1.70 | 32 | 1.00 | 33 | 1.70 | 34 | 1.60 | 35 | 1.30 |
| 36 | .50 | 37 | $*$ | 38 | .90 | 39 | 1.60 | 40 | .45 |
| 41 | .25 | 42 | 1.30 | 43 | 2.00 | 44 | 2.00 | 45 | 3.60 |
| 46 | 2.80 | 47 | 1.75 | 48 | 2.10 | 49 | 1.60 | 50 | $*$ |
| 51 | 1.20 | 52 | 1.00 | 53 | 3.10 | 54 | .80 | 55 | 14.10 |
| 56 | 7.30 | 57 | $*$ | 58 | $*$ | 59 | $*$ | 60 | 3.60 |
| 61 | 1.50 | 62 | 1.50 | 63 | 1.25 | 64 | 3.00 | 65 | 1.50 |
| 66 | 1.50 | 67 | $*$ | 68 | 4.00 | 69 | 5.10 | 70 | $*$ |
| 71 | $*$ | 72 | .75 | 73 | $*$ | 74 | 5.10 | 75 | 2.00 |
| 76 | 4.00 | 77 | .40 | 78 | 1.10 | 81 | 1.00 | 84 | 3.00 |
| 96 | 6.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a number of lots were brought along without being included in the catalogue and unless sold they are not listed above.

The sales analysis was Book £ 109.55

$$
\text { Room £ } \frac{35.75}{145.30}
$$

* indicates unsold

| Lot | Price | Lot | Price | Lot | Price | Lot Price | Lot | Price |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.10 | 2 | $*$ | 3 | 4.10 | 4 | 4.10 | 5 | 2.60 |
| 6 | 7.50 | 7 | 11.25 | 8 | 1.70 | 9 | $*$ | 10 | 1.50 |
| 11 | 3.00 | 12 | 4.10 | 13 | 4.00 | 14 | 2.30 | 15 | 6.25 |
| 16 | 3.20 | 17 | 2.50 | 18 | 4.10 | 19 | 5.10 | 20 | 2.00 |
| 21 | 2.00 | 22 | 3.10 | 23 | 8.10 | 24 | 4.00 | 25 | 8.00 |
| 26 | 1.60 | 27 | .55 | 28 | 1.00 | 29 | 1.10 | 30 | .60 |
| 31 | 3.10 | 32 | 5.75 | 33 | .80 | 34 | 2.10 | 35 | .75 |
| 36 | 1.40 | 37 | 2.20 | 38 | 1.20 | 39 | 8.25 | 40 | 1.10 |
| 41 | 3.10 | 42 | 1.40 | 43 | 3.00 | 44 | .50 | 45 | 3.80 |
| 46 | 7.25 | 47 | 1.00 | 48 | .80 | 49 | 1.00 | 50 | 3.80 |
| 51 | 1.10 | 52 | 1.30 | 53 | 2.60 | 54 | 1.20 | 55 | 1.20 |
| 56 | 2.50 | 57 | 1.50 | 58 | 3.20 | 59 | 4.00 | 60 | 8.25 |
| 61 | 1.00 | 62 | 1.60 | 63 | 4.10 | 64 | 10.50 | 65 | .40 |
| 66 | 2.20 | 67 | 1.40 | 68 | 1.00 | 69 | 1.00 | 70 | 11.00 |
| 71 | .40 | 72 | 1.10 | 73 | .55 | 74 | .75 | 75 | 1.00 |
| 76 | 3.00 | 77 | 5.10 | 78 | 5.20 | 79 | 2.80 | 80 | .75 |
| 81 | $*$ | 82 | $*$ | 83 | 1.50 | 84 | 1.40 | 85 | 1.00 |
| 86 | 1.60 | 87 | 2.10 | 88 | 3.00 | 89 | .75 | 90 | 4.20 |
| 91 | 2.00 | 92 | 2.40 | 93 | 4.00 | 94 | 3.00 | 95 | 1.90 |
| 96 | 1.20 | 97 | 1.40 | 98 | 4.10 | 99 | $*$ | 100 | 1.00 |
| 101 | 4.20 | 102 | 3.00 | 103 | $*$ | 104 | $*$ | 105 | $*$ |
| 106 | 2.20 | 107 | 3.70 | 108 | 1.50 | 109 | $*$ | 110 | 3.00 |
| 111 | 3.90 | 112 | 3.00 | 113 | .75 | 114 | 2.60 | 115 | 2.10 |
| 116 | 2.90 | 117 | 2.10 | 118 | 1.90 | 119 | 4.10 | 120 | 1.50 |
| 121 | 6.10 | 122 | $*$ | 123 | 1.10 | 124 | 1.00 | 125 | 1.30 |
| 126 | 1.30 | 127 | 1.50 | 128 | .75 | 129 | $*$ | 130 | $*$ |
| 131 | 1.60 | 132 | 2.20 | 133 | $*$ | 134 | 2.70 | 135 | 1.10 |
| 136 | $*$ | 137 | .80 | 138 | .85 | 139 | $*$ | 140 | $*$ |
| 141 | .75 | 142 | .85 | 143 | .95 | 144 | 1.50 | 145 | 1.10 |
| 146 | .75 | 147 | 4.00 | 148 | $*$ | 149 | 1.60 | 150 | 2.00 |
| 151 | 2.10 | 152 | $*$ | 153 | $*$ | 154 | 3.50 | 155 | $*$ |
| 156 | 1.90 | 157 | 1.60 | 158 | $*$ | 159 | $*$ | 160 | $*$ |
| 161 | 2.10 | 162 | 1.30 | 163 | 3.00 | 164 | .95 | 165 | 1.20 |
| 166 | 1.60 | 167 | $*$ | 168 | 3.00 | 169 | $*$ | 170 | 3.50 |
| 171 | 1.00 | 172 | 1.60 | 173 | 1.00 | 174 | 1.50 | 175 | $*$ |
| 176 | 1.50 | 177 | 2.00 | 178 | 1.00 | 179 | $*$ | 180 | 12.00 |


| Lot | Price | Lot | Price | Lot | Price | Lot | Price | Lot | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 181 | .40 | 182 | 1.60 | 183 | 1.60 | 184 | 1.10 | 185 | .50 |
| 186 | 1.00 | 187 | 1.60 | 188 | 1.40 | 189 | $*$ | 190 | $*$ |
| 191 | .50 | 192 | 1.50 | 193 | .55 | 194 | .40 | 195 | .40 |
| 196 | 1.10 | 197 | 2.30 | 198 | 1.00 | 199 | 2.00 | 200 | 3.00 |
| 201 | 2.40 | 202 | 2.10 | 203 | 3.60 | 204 | 1.00 | 205 | 1.50 |
| 206 | 2.00 | 207 | 2.50 | 208 | 1.60 | 209 | 1.00 | 210 | 2.10 |
| 211 | 3.50 | 212 | 1.50 | 213 | 1.60 | 214 | 1.00 | 215 | 1.00 |
| 216 | 1.90 | 217 | .40 | 218 | $*$ | 219 | 2.90 | 220 | .40 |
| 221 | 5.50 | 222 | 2.10 | 223 | 3.00 | 224 | 3.00 | 225 | 4.20 |
| 226 | 12.25 | 227 | 4.10 | 228 | 2.30 | 229 | .50 | 230 | 1.00 |
| 231 | $*$ | 232 | $*$ | 233 | 3.00 | 234 | 2.00 | 235 | 1.10 |
| 236 | $*$ | 237 | 11.25 | 238 | $*$ | 239 | $*$ | 240 | $*$ |
| 241 | 2.70 | 242 | 1.50 | 243 | .85 | 244 | 1.20 | 245 | 1.10 |
| 246 | .50 | 247 | $*$ | 248 | .40 | 249 | 1.00 | 250 | 2.00 |

Total realisation $£ 518.70$

## Redirection Charges

Readers may recall an article by Mr．E．A．Quinn entitled＂The London Twopenny Post and Redirected Mail＂which appeared in Notebook No．13．In this a distinction was made between the mark of the old Twopenny Post and that of the General Post． Examples from collections were asked to be reported，but only two came forth．One dated 28．3．1855 to Gateshead and Newcastle via London from Scotland and the other of 23.12 .1853 to Basinghall St．from Brixton。


The item reproduced above was noted at Showpex and subsequently purchased． It carried a Plate 8 Black cancelled with the broken pointed London Cross in black，a neat Tower St。，struck in Blue and the handsome＂ 1 ＂following the redirection from Adelphi Terrace to Robert Street．The item also had applied， in red， 4 eg JA 13 1841， 6 Ev。JA 131841 and Fn。JA 14 1841，all backstamps。

Tower Street had a Twopenny Post R．H．and no General Post R．H．（according to the 1836 listing of the P．O．Directory）．This＂ $1^{\prime \prime}$ would seem to be a further example of what must be a fairly difficult charge mark and might serve to stimulate response to the previous enquiry．

## General Post Receiving Houses

This was the subject matter of our meeting on Saturday 19 th October which, due to a clash of dates, was not as well attended as the subject would have normally attracted. Fortunately, George Crabb produced a delightful range of material and with a small selection from others yielded a first class afternoon。 It was hoped to produce a schedule of the Receivers' initials in particular and as a first portion a list of initials and names are given below.

| A | Mr Adams | E.K | Eleanor Keepe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C.A | Charles Adams | J.K | John Kirby |
| J.A | John Allins | A.L | Anthony Lissen |
| J.A | John Abrahams | D.L | Daniel Lockhurst |
| J.A | John Allen | G.L | George Luce |
| W.A | William Atkins | G.L | George Lambert |
| G.B | George Bowen | J.L | John Lloyd |
| H.B | Hugh Brockett | W.L | William Leeke |
| L.B | Lawrence Blacklocks | G.M | George Mortimer |
| L.B | Lionel Booth | J.M | John Morgan |
| J.B | John Barnet | J.M | James Magnes |
| R.B | Richard Best | S.M | Sarah Moule |
| W.B | William Boak | W.M | William Mott |
| W.B | William Bumstead | N | ? Newman |
| A.C | Anne Cooke | R. 0 | Ralph Oldham |
| G.C | George Cross | P | Mr. Parker |
| J.C | John Chalfont | P | Partington |
| S.C | Samuel Champness | P | Mr. Pridden |
| W.C | William Craig | E.P. | Edward Powell |
| D | Dangerfield | J.P | John Place |
| M.D | Mary Davis | W.P | William Place |
| M.D | Matthew Days | W.P | William Parker |
| N.D | Norah Day | A.R | Abel Roper |
| G.E | George Eales | E.R | Edward Roberts |
| M.E | Michael Ellis | E.R | Edward Roberts |
| R.E | Richard Earlam | J.R | Jane Rappen |
| W.F | William Flexney | J.R | Joseph Robinson |
| W.F | William Farquhason | J.R | James Robson |
| G。 | Captain Grigsons | S.R | Sarah Roberts |
| $G$. | Mrs Grone or Grove | W.R | William Rouse |
| G.G | George Grimmett | W.R | William Randall |
| H.G | Humphrey Greensell | S | Mrs Smith |
| M.G | Matilda Griffin | S | Mrs Staltinborgh |
| J.G | Joshua Grocer | A.S | Alex Shepherd |
| R.G | Robert Genns | F.S | Francis Scarle |
| S.G | Samuel Gibs | G.S | George Sanders |
| T.G | Thomas Garthon | G.S | G. Streeting |
| W.G | William Griffith | I.S | Isaac Smith |
| B. H | Bartholomew Hagget | J.S | J. Smith |
| E. H | Edward Hagget | T.S | Thomas Stephenson |
| E.H | Edward Huchins | T.S | Thomas Simmes |
| E.H | Edward Hawkins | N.T | Nic Thatcher |
| E.H | Edmund Hodgson | T.T | Thomas Taylor |
| J.H | James Harris | A.V | Alice Venables |
| T. H | Thomas Hindes | E.V | Eliza Vaughan |
| I | Mrs Ivy | W.V | William Venables |
| G.J | George Johnson | W | Mrs Warwick |
| J.J | John Jackson | D.W | Daniel Wilkinson |
| J.J | Joseph Jones | J.W | John Ward |

J.J Joseph Jones
R.J Richard Jones

There are, of course, a great many more initials but the above are taken from the publication by Miss Bagust, the original of which gave both the location of
the office and the authority for the allocation．．
Two examples of Receiver＇s names were shown at the meeting，other than the＂typed＂ Partington，etc．One the name of HART and the other，unhappily，not clear．The
 mark appears to consist of six or seven characters，the first two being $S A$ followed by $B, P$ or $R$ ，with $O$ as the pentultimate letter and possibly $M$ as the final．The name is framed with curved ends as opposed to the square ends of the conventional square framed G．P．office mark．

At present a schedule of initials appearing in auction lists and notes is being． prepared for members to amend and add to from their own information．

Not only were the initial marks of Receivers examined but the framed names of the Offices themselves．It was interesting to note that not only was there a wide variety between the offices but also a range from the same office．One such was 104 Strand．A busy office which mail from the nearby taxation offices，the constant pounding of mail clearly called for frequent recut handstamps．In connection with the Strand，readers are invited to send details of Strand marks they have to Michael Champness，The Old Vicarage，Wendens Ambo，Saffron Walden， Essex CB11 4JY。

George Crabs made the point at the meeting of the well nigh impossible task of assembling a comprehensive London collection。Whilst many members are tending to specialise on a type of mark few seem to attempt to study the postal history of an area．This seems to have considerable merit as it would put together the use of the different marks in one office and provide a link across the various studies already being made

Perhaps members would register their particular interests with the Editor，to avoid duplication of effort if for nothing else。By way of a starter he is hoping to tackle the London District Post Route 6，Deptford to Bexley．

In connection with this，please do not forget the subject of the next meeting which is a joint effort with the Kent Group on November 30th at the S．G．Auction rooms at the junction of Russell St．and Drury Lane starting at 2 p．m。 The items for the meeting will be those relating to＇Kent in London＇－or＇London in Kent＇depending on ones point of view．


Inland Branch，Dpright Diamonds，by K．Martin－Jones
Futher to the data given in Notebook 18，the following are now recorded．
Dubus series 24： 14 （with trace of outside ring）
it should be noted this series shows great variation in the shape of the diamond and of the figure and apart from being a 1，5，1，5 format hardly seem to be a group．

Dubus series 25：Has any reader seen ANY of these ？？？？？
Dubus series 26：I have the following．．．．．．．．

| A | Small piece | plate ？ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Stamp only | plate 180 |
| B | Stamp only | plate 181 |
| C | Part of large cover，dated 30 th．November， $1875,2 \times$ Pl． 179 |  |
| D | Stamp only <br> Piece of thick envelope $\frac{1}{2} d$ |  |
|  | plate 12 and $3 \times 1 d$ plate 174 |  |

It looks as if these cancellations were used from 1874 to 1876 on large envelopes．
Please give plate numbers with any reports．
We illustrate below，by kind permission of $M$ 。Dubus his illustrations of type 25 and 26．Type 25 he states to be cancellations of various types not classified with any previous series．Series 26 M ．Dubus records＇ C ＇on a cover dated 22nd March 1876 as well as＇B＇and＇D＇on adhesives off cover。

Series 25


Readers are reminded to advise of all new purchases and recordings they make。
Horizontal Diamonds：Brian Smith， 41 Cranleigh Drive，SWANLEY，Kent．BR8 8NZ
Upright Diamonds：Keith Martin－Jones， Eton House，Docking，KINGS LYNN，Norfolk．

LONDON MISmSORT STAMPS 1858 - 1955, by M. M. English

The increase in use of the postal services after 1840 placed a great strain on the clerks engaged in sorting letters in the London District Office and the answer, which took many years to be implemented was to divide London into smaller districts, each operating as a separate "post town" might.

Started in 1856 it was not fully completed until 1860 the district into which London was divided were, at first, East Central (E.C.)

| West Central | (W.C.) |
| :--- | :--- |
| North West | (N.W.) |
| North | (N) |
| North East | (N.E.) |
| South West | (S.W.) |
| South | (S) |
| South East | (S.E.) |
| East | (E.) |
| West | (W.) |

Although the reorganisation received a great deal of publicity incorrect initials or addresses were frequently used and it was deemed necessary to introduce some form of mis-sort or mis-sent stamp (fig l) These were first issued in May 1858 and remained in use in some offices until their final withdrawal on 24th February, 1890.
$M=$ Missort
$N E=$ District Initials
$D=$ Office Code (Hackney)
Fig_

At first they were some 18 mm diag., but later types varied between 20 mm and 22 mm . Normally struck in black, impressions are known also in red and blue. The North and South East District Offices have the interesting feature of some office codes being double letters (fig 2).

The following list has been compiled from the Post Office Records Proof Impression Books, with additional data recorded by the late W.G. Stitt Dibden.

Readers are cautioned that due to constant recall and reissue, possible borrowing of a stamp from a neighbouring office and such activities which prove a headache to postal
 historians, it cannot be assumed that the allocation of a code to a particular office means that a cover bearing their stamp was applied at that office.

| A | District Office | 1858 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | Barnet | 1858 |
|  | Highbury | $1860-17.2 .1874$ |
|  | Edmonton | Feb 1874 |
| BB | Upper Edmonton | 1886 |
| C Edmonton | 1858 |  |
|  | Colney Hatch (which was renamed) | $1861-$ |
|  | New Southgate | -1863 |
|  | Finchley | 30.6 .73 |
| D | Enfield | $1858-1859$ (?) |
|  | Finchley Church End | 1886 |
|  | Highgate | 1858 |
| F Enfield | 1861 |  |
|  | Finchley Church End |  |
| G Holloway | 1858 |  |



NORTH EASTERN DISTRICT

| A | District Office | 1858 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | Chigwell | 1858 |
| C | Clapton | $1858-1870$ |
| D | Hackney | $1858-1876$ |
| E | Leytonstone | $1858-1887$ |
| F | Loughton | 1858 |
| G | Leyton | $1858-1869$ |
| H | Woodford | 1858 |



| Eastern District | N | Plaistow | 1870 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (continued) | Startford | before | 1874 |
|  | 0 | Victoria Docks | before |
| 1887 |  |  |  |

SOUTH EASTERN DISTRICTS

A District Office
B Beckenham
C Bickley Blackheath
D Bromley
Camberwell
E Charlton
Catford
F Chislehurst
G Deptford
H EI tham
Dulwich
$J$ Erith
Ell tham
K Footscray
I. Greenwich Forrest Hill
M Lessness Heath Greenwich
N Lewisham Kennington
0 Peckham Lewisham
$P$ Benge
R Plumstead South Norwood
S Rotherhithe Peckham
T Sydenham Anerley
V Welling Sydenham
W Woolwich Rotherhithe
X Sydenham
DA New Cross
AA Herne Hill
$A B$ Brockley
P Walthamstow Turnham Green
CP Crystal Palace
Q Norwood
NA Lee
IX Lower Sydenham
CA S.E. District Office
BF Brockley
PA Lower Norwood
AW Shooters Hill

SOUTHERN DISTRICT

| A | District Office |
| :--- | :--- |
| B | Brixton |
| C | Camberwell |
| D | Carshalton to English list |


| to SWD | 1. | 3.1868 (a) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| to SED | 1. | 4.1868 (a) |
|  | 1. | 1.1869 (a) |
| to SWD | 1. | 3.1868 (a) |

(a) use of $X$ within circle ceased before 1868

Southern District (continued)

## F Croydon (this office was never in the Lond on District. The issue of the stamp was an exception to the rule)

G Dulwich to SED April 1868
H Mitcham to English list 25.2.1868
(use of stamp ceased 1860)
Merton
(transferred to SWD March 1868 and English list 1871)
J Norwood
Mitcham
K South Lambeth 15.5.1861
Norwood
L Stockwell 15.5.1861
South Lambeth
M Steatham
Stockwell
South Norwood 1864
$N$ Tooting
Streatham
Stockwell
0 Walworth
Sutton
Streatham
P Tooting 15.5.1861
Thormton Heath
Sutton
R Walworth
Tooting
Thornton Heath
S Walworth
Tooting
Balham
South Kensington
$T$ South Norwood 2.5.1864
Walworth
Churton Street B. O.
$V$ Barnes 24.4.1883
W Earls Court 21.1.21880
SOUTH WESTERN DISTRICT
A. District Office 1858

B Battersea 1858
C Brompton 1858
D Fulham 1858
Chelsea
E Hampton 1858
F Kempton 1858
Fulham 1868
G Mortlake 1858
H Petersham 1858
Mortlake
J Putney 1858
K Richmond 1858
South Lambeth 1868
L Sunbury 1858
Stockwell 1868
M Teddington 1858
Streatham 1868
N Twickenham 1858
Tooting 1868

## South Western District

(continued)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { Wandsworth } \\
\text { P } & \text { Wimbledon }
\end{array}
$$

CENIRAL DISTRICT (EAST)
A District Office
CENTRAL DISTRICT (WEST)
A District Office
B St. Martin's Place

There was a further rearrangement of the districts when on lst April, 1868 the Southern District was absorbed by the South Eastern and South Western Districts. The "S" code offices were allowed to lapse for a time but were later re-allocated. 1869 saw the absorbtion of the North Eastern District by the Eastern District.

Following these particular marks came redesigned types in 1890 when the CPO issued a list of code numbers for use in explanatory and mis-sort marks. The new steel handstamps had at first code " M " this being followed by code "A" for evening, "B" for morning and "C" afternoon.


These missort codes were introduced into machine cancellations including Krag and Universals and also now appears in the rubber packet stamps.

The following list shows the code numbers issued during the period 1890-1949. The British Postmark Society has issued during the period 1890-1949.
The British Postmark Society has issued a check list of the various handstamps used with this series (BPS Bulletin Jan 71 et seq.)

| 1. | Highgate | llb | Poplar | 26 | North Kensington |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | East Finchley | 12 | Bow | 26 b | South Lambeth |
| 3 | Whetstone | 13 | Stratford | 27 | Clapham |
| 3b | Hornsey | 14 | East Ham | 27 b | Stockwell |
| 4 | Hampstead | 15 | Highbury | 28 | Tooting |
| 4b | Southgate | 16 | Woolwich | 28 b | Streatham |
| 5 | Hendon | 17 | Leytonstone | 29 | Forest Gate |
| 5b | Holloway | 18 | Deptford | 30 | Lee |
| 6 | E.C. Sorting Office | 19 | Finsbury Park | 30 b | Maida Hill |
| 6a | E.C. Postman's Office | 20 | Greenwick | 31 | Wandsworth |
| 6b | Clapton | 21 | Charlton | $31 b$ | Battersea |
| 7 | Stoke Newington | 21 b | Rotherhithe | 32 | Putney |
| 8 | West Brompton | 22 | Plaistow | 33 | Mortlake |
| 8b | Hackney | 23 | Brockley | 34 | Earls Court |
| 9 | Kentish Town | $23 b$ | Brixton | 34 b | Acton |
| 10 | South Kensington | 24 | Dulwich | Bethal Green |  |
| 11 | Chelsea | 25 | Sydenham | 35 b | Ealing |


| 36 | Balham | 51 | New Southgate | 70 | Anerley |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $36 b$ | Hanwell | 51 b | Winchmore Hill | 71 | Catford |
| 37 | Eltham | 52 | Herne Hill | 72 | West Norwood |
| 37 b | Notting Hill Gate | 52 b | Wood Green | 73 | W. C.D.O. |
| 38 | Kensington | 53 | Homerton | 74 | Vacant |
| 39 | Hammersmith | 53 b | Upper Holloway | 75 | Paddington D.0. |
| 39 b | Shepherds Bush | 54 | Norwood | 76 | New Cross |
| 40 | Vacant | 55 | West Kensington | 77 | East Dulwich |
| 41 | Barnes | 56 | Woodford and | 78 | S. E. D.O. |
| $41 b$ | St. John's Wood |  | South Woodford | 79 | S.W. D.O. |
| 42 | Walworth | 57 | Chiswick | 80 | Tottenham |
| 43 | Golders Green | 58 | Mill Hill | 81 | Edmonton, Lower |
| 44 | Manor Park | 59 | West Wimbledon | 82 | Leyton |
| $44 b$ | The Hyde | 60 | Kilburn | 83 | Edmonton, Upper |
| 45 | Vacant | 61 | Willesden | 84 | Chingford |
| 46 | Palmers Green | 62 | South Tottenham | 85 | Walthamstow |
| $46 b$ | Felthem | 63 | Wimbledon | 86 | N.W. D.O. |
| 47 | Finchley Church End | 64 | West Ealing | 87 | N. D.O. |
| 48 | North Finchley | 65 | Camberwell | 88 | E. D.O. |
| $48 b$ | Forest Hill | 66 | Lewisham | 89 | W. D.O. |
| 49 | Abbey Wood | 67 | Peckham | 90 | Muswell Hill |
| $49 b$ | South Norwood | 68 | Kennington | 91 | Cricklewood |
| 50 | Victoria Docks and | 69 | Blackheath |  |  |



The envelope carries a reused penny plate, cancelled with the Oswestry duplex, 595, dated $O C 3 / 73$, code $C$. It has the Eastern District mark, $M$ above $A$ below and is struck in red.
( envelope contributed by Derek Holliday )

## NUMBER SIXTEEN CANCELLATION

The item in the previous issue＇s Editorial has not produced a firm answer，but J．R．Henderson had some useful observation．

1．The single circle 16．is not a telegraphic cancellation stamp．My guess is that it is a stamp used by a Postman－probably in the Chief Office－ for obliterating postage stamps which were not cancelled at the office of posting。

2．Goswell Rd。P．0．was outside the City area in 1883 and could have forwarded the registered letter for Aylsham to another office for onward transmission and not necessarily the Chief Office．I read of a similar case where the letter was sent to HOUNSLOW for onward transmission．

3．Brumell＇s reference to Queen Victoria Street rather baffles me。 I visited the National Library Edinburgh and the Edinburgh Library（Scottish Section） and in three different directories I found that an office was opened in Queen Victoria Street in 1876／77．In 1883 it was actually a Branch Office。

4．There were 3 offices in Goswell Rd。EC at one time，one of them being a Branch Office，the other two being No． 114 and No．237．In 1883 No． 114 the owner was one Pratt Eliza Rowland，Newsagent．Prior to that the office was in $116 / 118$ with the owner shown as John Shillipson，Tea Dealer．
（ P．O．R．H．Money Order \＆Telegraph Office \＆SB Office．）
One clue turned up from the Editor＇s cutting files was a letter published in Stamp Collecting on 22nd．April 1966．

Headed＂Victoria St。E．C．＂，Ian Smillie of Edinburgh refers to a Parcel Post label reading＂London Q．V．S．，128a，Queen Victoria Sto，E．C。＂The datestamp is noted as clearly showing＂128A Queen Victoria St．B．O．＂with＂E．C．＂at the foot． The date itself is not clear，the stamps had been removed but the label appeared to be one of the Victorian era．

From this the 1887 listing and footnote by Brumell appear to be supported，if not fully proved．Surely someone，somewhere MUST have another example on cover？

$$
-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-
$$

INLAND OFFICE，Early Dates．
Both Dubus and Westley fail to give a firm date in May 1844 for the first use of the diamond obliterator．The Proof Impression Book helps not at all by giving the date of issue as May 1844。

By way of encouraging readers to check their own covers，the Editor records a 10 in diamond on entire dated May 18th．

May we have your bids（ of earlier dates that is！）please ？
－0－0－0－0－0－0－0－0－0－0－0－0－0－
MID S X OUT
From J．Holmes of Canada comes a FRFE dated from London April the twenty first 1812，signed Longfield and addressed to Cork and bearing，in red，a hand stamp in serif capitals MID S X OUT．Any information would be most appreciated．

$$
-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-
$$

The 1905 Postmarks of London E．C．，Further Issues，by Jeremy Greenwood．
Another large series of new stamps issued in September was of the scroll or hooded variety．They are referred to as machine dies，presumably for use in Pearson Hill machines．

There are number of variants of this design and the first （fig．1）is referred to in the records as type 5 and the second（fig． 2 ）as type 3．However，later the type 5 with 2 code letters at the base is also referred to as type 5！

Only one of the first type is shown，for use on collections from larger Branch Offices（B．O．＇s）at 6 pom．and 7 p．m。 with 6.45 pom．and 7.45 pom．clock time shown respectively．

The second type was used for the following duties：

fig 2
code $F$ collections from the larger B．O．＇s on evening duty and on Saturdays for the cancellation of unobliterated letters from the same．

K Chief Office collections at $5.30,9,10,10.30$ and 10.45 pom．－town． Withdrawn＇out of order＇at unspecified date
I Consul stamp（sic）
L Used on correspondence found amongst official letters
0 Larger B．0．＇s－ 1.15 a．m．and 3.15 a．m。 collections
R Larger B．O．＇s－evening duty－withdrawn＇out of order＇at an unspecified date
$S \quad$ Larger B．O．＇s－evening and midnight duties
$T, U$ ，Chief Office country collections．
$\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Y} T$ \＆ U were also used on correspondence from the Board of Trade at 6 p．ma on Thursday
W Throgmorton Ave 。8．0．， 7.45 pom．clock time
X Leadenhall St．B．O．Friday＇s Correspondence； 7 pom．clock time
BA 47 Cannon St．B．0．7．45 pom．clock time
BB Gracechurch Street B．0． 7.45 p．m．clock time
BC Leadenhall St。B．0．7．45 pom．clock time Broken 20．8． 1908 and replaced from reserve

BE Eastcheap B．O． 7.45 pom．clock time
BF Gracechurch St．B．O．7．45 pom．clock time，country letters
A further series of impressions is recorded from stamps with codes beginning with X，also all dated 14 th．September， 1905 ：

XA Used on letters found amongst newspapers
XB Used on 1.15 a．m．collection from smaller B．O．＇s，T．S．O．＇s and P．B．＇s
XI \＆
XE Withdrawn－out of order
XF Used on the $3.15 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{o}}$ collection from smaller B．O．＇s，T．S．O．＇s \＆P．B．＇s
XG Used on the 5 a．m．collection from Smithfield B．0．－ 5.45 pom． clock time 。

XH Used on the 6 pom．collection from Smithfield B．O．－ 7 p．m。 clock time

XI Used on unobliterated letters from the $3.15 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$ ．collections and on unobliterated letters from district and sub－district correspondencea
XK Used on letters found amongst newspapers
XM Used on the 12 midnight collection from Fleet St。B．O．Tuesday－ Saturday，with an a．m．clock time．

XN No details noted

## Acknowledgement

The above details have been compiled from documents in the National Postal Museum by kind permission of the Curator，Mr．A．R．de Righi

```
-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-
```

Apex Down－and Up
In＇Notebook $18^{\prime}$＇，a preliminary enquiry was made about the triangular markings with a view to expanding the few notes appearing in Alcock \＆Holland．In a letter from Joe Henderson it is understood he is researching these marks and readers are invited to send direct to him full details of all material they have。 The types include those with code numbers，letters and those shown in the original request． The only qualification is that the item shall be used postally and it does not have to be confined to London．One such is illustrated below．


Clearly posted，or at least written，on board on the last day of a terrible crossing，the card did not receive a Paquebot mark，but was machine cancelled Quebec 20．6．1933．The triangular mark under a bright light and with a glass seems to be＂ 459 ＂which is LINGFIELD in triangular surcharge stamps，Kirton Lindsey in the England \＆Wales series．Stourbridge is 750．Suggestions as to a valid interpretation welcome。

In urmation on any triangulars to：

11941662 E.L. from Dublin addressed " for Mr.John Morris at the fflyinge horse in Cornehill, London" showing on the reverse "AV/29" Bishop mark ***.A very early date £120
£180
12261791 E.L. to Edinburgh showing circular framed " CHARLES STREET SOHO/Street/ing " and fancy "FREE/P"(W 66)** to *** \& 70

12421762 E.L. showing "PENNY/POST/PAID" of the St.Pauls Office * to ${ }^{\text {*** }}$ £ 40 £ 52

Robson Lowe 14th.May 1974 (Ship Letters )
2491810 E. from Hamburg showing the acarce " SHIP LETTER " c.d.s.(S16) 1 to *** £100

2571815 E.L. (slightly torn) from Lond on to Cape Town showing across join the large circlular "POST PAID WITHDRAWN SHIP LETTER/Crown/LONDON" d.s. (PPW1) 1 to ***, but very good for this rare mark.

2581815 E.L. from London to Bordeaux showing across join "POST PAID WITH-DRAWN SHIP LETTER/Crown/LONDON/ c.d.s. (PPW1) * to ** only and on face "ANGLETERRE/PAS CALAIS" in red ** to ***

2701829 Soldiers' E.L. from Quilone to Ireland showing large circular framed "INDIA SOLDIER $\& / 3 /$ LONDON" (In.Sol.5)** to *** and across join"MADRAS/free/SOLDIERS LETTER"c.d.s. in red**。Somewhat stained and creased

2781839 E.L. from Rio de Janerio to London showing on reverse manuscript " only single Fred Huth \& Co ", on the front the rate has been altered from " $2 / 8$ " to $11 / 4$ " and the scrace oval"REBATE/Crown/SHIP LETTER OFFICE" d.s. (REB 1) has been apllied. The E.L. also shows on the reverse a strp-type "FOLKSTONE/SHIP LETTER"(S3),mıstly ** to ***, a scarce and interesting itme。

1849 Consignee's E. from Calais to London showing "Crown/ EXEMPT SHIP LR"(Ex2 ) and alongside a boxed "MB" (MB1) mostly ***. A scarce and attractive item


The following information has been received.....
The assumption that the postamrk was a receiving mark is correct, being applied to incoming mail in the department concerned, i。e. the office of the Controller of the London Postal Service. Incidentally, according to an Establishment Book of 1903, the addressee of the letter, Mr. W.H. Threlfall, was the 30th out of 57 3rd Class Clerks in the Controller's Office, and it is probable that the Controller's Office was then housed in " GPO East " (i.e. the New General Post Office of 1829 ) in St Martins le Grand since the present headquarters of the London postal service ( King Edward Building ) was not occupied until 1910.

The letter with the information was signed by Mrs J.D. Farrugia, Assistant Curator, National Postal Museum. It is welcome news to all researchers that The Museum has obtained the services of one so interested in postal history.

$$
-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-
$$

## Experimental Machine Cancellations

More postal historians are recognising the interest and merits of machine cancellations, with the still available post card yielding much useful information by way of example.

One such experimental mark, unremarked by Stitt Dibden in " Early Machine Cancellations" is a Krag which has a (between LONDON EC and the time. This mark is recorded in the 8 th. supplement to ' Postmarks of Gt. Britain and Ireland '.


According to notes compiled by Derek Holliday the dates of use are:

$$
\text { early } 24.12 .1907 \quad \text { late } 30.9 .1908
$$

with only five examples recorded. These may perhaps be unremarks by owners, or by the browsers.

Should any be located, a note of the date to Derek Holliday would be appreciated.

$$
-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-
$$

Change of Address
It was with considerable alarm we heard that John Parmenter had been posted abroad, slightly allayed only when it was discovered this referred to a change of employment rather than having adhesive labels affixed. His new address is:

John Parmenter B. Christopher - Sensgate 32, STANANGER, Norway。

